

## ACEPT 2018 Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement

Authors, peer-reviewers, editors and publishers, involved in publishing of papers for Asian Conference on Energy, Power and Transportation Electrification (ACEPT) is to **agree** and **respect** principles of ethical behaviour stated here and composed in compliance with Elsevier recommendations.

Nanyang Technological University, Singapore (NTU) and Energy Research Institute @ NTU (ERI@N), as the publishers of ACEPT conference proceeding, recognize their responsibilities and duties over all stages of publishing process. If necessary, we will communicate with other journal or conference proceedings' publishers, in matters of ethical standards' improvement or errors correction. The contents of this ethics article have been referenced from [1] and [2]. If any part of the following statement is unclear, please, discuss all aspects of publication ethics with the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) at <http://publicationethics.org>. Moreover, the organizers require compliance with the IEEE plagiarism directives, which can be found at [www.ieee.org/publications\\_standards/publications/rights/plagiarism.html](http://www.ieee.org/publications_standards/publications/rights/plagiarism.html) and at [www.ieee.org/documents/Level\\_description.pdf](http://www.ieee.org/documents/Level_description.pdf).

### Authors is to be responsible for:

**Plagiarism and sources verification.** The author(s) is to ensure the manuscript is of their own, original work. All forms of plagiarism represent unethical behaviour and are unacceptable. Appropriate citation/quotation of other authors work needs to be done in the event when authors have used work of other authors. All sources used in the creation of their manuscript must be identified and sensitive information can only be used with permission of the source.

**Authorship and multiple publications of same work.** Only authors who have made significant contributions, should be listed as co-authors. The corresponding author should ensure that all co-authors have seen and approved the final version of the paper and have agreed to its submission for publication. By submission of a manuscript to ACEPT, the author(s) is to ensure the manuscript is of their own, original work and it has neither been published nor is currently being considered for publication elsewhere.

**Data presentation and errors rectification.** Results and methods used are to be presented with clarity, without falsification/manipulations and no ambiguity so that their findings can be confirmed by others. All data should be represented accurately and all data in the paper are to be confirmed real and authentic by the author. The submission is to be entered into peer review process and obliged for retractions or corrections of mistakes. Any errors discovered by author is to be reported to the editors.

**Disclosure of interest.** Any financial or substantive conflict of interest that can be interpreted to influence results are to be disclosed. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed. Potential conflicts of interest should be disclosed at the earliest stage possible.

## **Peer Reviewer is to be responsible for:**

**Professionalism and competence.** In the event where reviewer are unable to give a prompt and competent review, should contact the editor and resign from the review process.

**Objective criticism.** Reviewer must express his views clearly with supporting arguments. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate.

**Confidentiality.** All submitted manuscript are to be treated as privileged information and reviewers should keep all information regarding papers confidential.

**Source verification.** Reviewers should observe for relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors. If any work that has been published, shows great similarity or overlap with the submitted manuscript, reviewer should call to the editor's attention to the submitted manuscript.

**Disclosure of interest.** Unpublished materials presented in submitted manuscript are not to be used for reviewer's own research without express written consent of the author. Reviewers should refrain from vetting manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors or institutions connected to the papers.

**Contribution to editorial.** ACEPT strongly believes scholars are to contribute to the scientific community by assisting editors through peer reviews; And also help authors to improve their manuscript.

## **Editorial board is to be responsible for:**

**Publication decisions.** The editor has full responsibility and authority to decide rejection/acceptance of an article. He can consult with other editors or reviewers in making this decision. The content and overall quality of publication falls under editor's responsibilities. Editor should base his decisions solely on the papers' importance, originality, clarity and relevance to ACEPT scope. Editors must not reject papers based on opinions or suspicions; they should have proof of misconduct.

**Fairness and unbiased.** Editor should evaluate manuscripts solely on its intellectual contributions without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, citizenship, or political philosophy of the authors.

**Confidentiality.** Editor and any editorial staff must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers and the publisher, as appropriate. Editors must preserve the anonymity of reviewers.

**Conflicts of interest.** Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must not be used for personal advantage. Editor must refrain from considering manuscripts in which he has conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors,

companies, or institutions connected to the papers; the acceptance/rejection decision can be undertaken by his fellow editors.

**Professionalism.** Every reported act of unethical publishing behaviour must be investigated thoroughly. In the event where appropriate action should be taken, there shall be no hesitation when publication of a retraction is needed, even when it is discovered years after publication.

[1][http://www.edpe.sk/index.php?option=com\\_content&task=view&id=175&Itemid=512](http://www.edpe.sk/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=175&Itemid=512)

[2][https://www.degruyter.com/staticfiles/pdfs/140117\\_Publication\\_ethics\\_and\\_publication\\_malpractice\\_FINAL.pdf](https://www.degruyter.com/staticfiles/pdfs/140117_Publication_ethics_and_publication_malpractice_FINAL.pdf)